## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA

| RODNEY JULIUS OWENS | )                                      |
|---------------------|--|
| Plaintiff,          | )<br>)                                 |
| v.                  | Civil Action No. 3:06-cv-00540-MEF-SRW |
| JAY JONES, et. al., | )                                      |
| Defendants.         | <i>)</i>                               |

## **DEFENDANTS' ANSWER**

COME NOW Jay Jones, Cary Torbert Jr., and Linda Stewart, Defendants in the abovestyled cause, and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint as follows:

#### Answer

The Defendants in this action deny each and every allegation made by the Plaintiff, Rodney Julius Owens, and demand strict proof thereof. The Defendants deny that they acted, or caused anyone to act, in such a manner so as to deprive the Plaintiff of his constitutional rights.

## **Affirmative Defenses**

- 1. The Plaintiff's Complaint, separately and severally, fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.
- 2. The Defendants in this action, in their individual capacities, are entitled to qualified immunity from the Plaintiff's claims.
- 3. The Defendants in this matter, in their official capacities, are entitled to absolute immunity from the Plaintiff's claims pursuant to the Eleventh Amendment to the United States Constitution.
  - 4. Defendants in their official capacities are not "persons" under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

- 5. The Plaintiff's claims are barred by the Prison Litigation Reform Act because he has failed to exhaust available administrative remedies and because he has not suffered an injury that is greater than de minimus.
- 6. Plaintiff fails to allege any affirmative causal link between the alleged acts of Defendants and any alleged constitutional deprivation or Defendants' direct participation in any alleged constitutional violation.
  - 7. Defendants are not liable based upon respondeat superior theories of liability.
- 8. The Plaintiff cannot prove a violation of his rights under the Eighth or Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.
  - 9. Defendants were not deliberately indifferent in any respect.
- 10. The Plaintiff's claims for injunctive relief – including his request that safety rails be installed and his request that his medical bills be paid by Defendants - are moot, and the Plaintiff lacks standing to raise these claims.
- 11. Defendants reserve the right to add additional defenses upon a complete investigation of Plaintiff's claims, completion of any discovery allowed, and if any further pleading is required or allowed by the Court.

Respectfully submitted this 20th day of September, 2006.

#### s/Ashley Hawkins Freeman

ASHLEY HAWKINS FREEMAN Bar No. FRE044 AMANDA KAY MORGAN Bar No. ALL079 Attorneys for Defendants WEBB & ELEY, P.C. 7475 Halcyon Pointe Drive (36117) Post Office Box 240909 Montgomery, Alabama 36124 Telephone: (334) 262-1850

Fax: (334) 262-1889

E-mail: afreeman@webbeley.com

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this the 20th day of September, 2006, I have electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, and that I have mailed a true and correct copy of the foregoing by United States Mail, postage prepaid, to the following non-CM/ECF participant:

> Rodney Julius Owens Lee County Detention Center P.O. Box 2407 Opelika, AL 36801

> > s/Ashley Hawkins Freeman OF COUNSEL